KENNETH C. HOWE Washington affidavit of 9/8/75

In this five-page version he says he first knew about the note only after Oswald had been arrested.

Aside from knowledge and claiming silence he says nothing really relevant. He says that when he found the note in Hosty's box and took it to Shanklin he had the impression Shanklin knew about it and wanted to hear no more.

His story contradicts or is contradicted by Hosty's 9/22 some of the content of which was indicated to him prior to this affidavit.

From San Diego on 9/12 he wrote "Dear Harold" a short note to which he attached a 3 pp. memo.

This is still a different version that seems to say his firt knowledge came from Nan Fenner and that before he knew anything else Hosty had "discarded" it.

Because of the other work "the matter was dropped as of re.ative unimportance." (With Oswald the only accused - and all the junk they wnrt for!)

Later he came accross the note in Hosty box and took it right to Shanklin, who was "definite" in not wanting to see it, etc.

He tries to downplay note again ("no investigative importance") and says it was no more than an "administrative matter" for Shanklin to handle.

Claims not to recall telling two other to "forget" it but says he could have because those without "full knowledge of the facts" ought not discuss "administrative matters" that were in the SAC's hands.

He has no recollection of the content other than it was some kind of threat.

How could an FBI supervisor possibly be concerned about a prior threat by the alleged assassin? So they had to believe him.

9/16/75 FD302 by H.N.Bassett follows. He quotes letter and its covering note.

KENNETH C. HOWE Washington affidavit of 9/8/75

In this five-page version he says he first knew about the note only after Oswald had been arrested.

Aside from knowledge and claiming silence he says nothing really relevant. He says that when he found the note in Hosty's box and took it to Shanklin he had the impression Shanklin knew about it and wanted to hear no more.

His story contradicts or is contradicted by Hosty's 9/22 some of the content of which was indicated to him prior to this affidavit.

From San Diego on 9/12 he wrote "Dear Harold" a short note to which he attached a 3 pp. memo.

This is still a different version thatseems to say his firt knowledge came from Nan Fenner and that before he knew anything else Hosty had "discarded" it.

Because of the other work the matter was dropped as of re.ative unimportance." (With Oswald the only accused - and all the junk they wnrt for!)

Later he came accross the note in Hosty box and took it right to Shanklin who was "definite" in not wanting to see it, etc.

Hehtries to downplay note again ("no investigative importance") and says it was no more than an "administrative matter" for Shanklin to handle.

Claims not to recall telling two other to "forget" it but says he could have because those without "full knowledge of the facts" ought not discuss "administrative matters" that were in the SAC's hands.

He has no recollection of the content other than it was some kind of threat.

How could an FBI supervisor possibly be concerned about a prior threat by the alleged assassin? So they had to believe him.

9/16/75 FD302 by H.N.Bassett follows. He quotes letter and its covering note.

KENNETH C. HOWE Washington affidavit of 9/8/75

In this five-page version he says he first knew about the note only after Oswald had been arrested.

Aside from knowledge and claiming silence he says nothing really relevant. He says that when he found the note in Hosty's box and took it to Shanklin he had the impression Shanklin knew about it and wanted to hear no more.

His story contradicts or is contradicted by Hosty's 9/22 some of the content of which was indicated to him prior to this affidavit.